

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/468,777	12/21/1999	KEIKO HASEBE	0327-0815-0	4085	
7	590 09/06/2002				
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER			EXAMINER .		
& NEUSTADT PC FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			WELLS, LAUREN Q		
ARLINGTON,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1617		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ンド	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/468,777	HASEBE ET AL.	
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lauren Q Wells	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final and the final the f	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		NOTE balando	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		rially raduaing or ai	malifying the
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	IS.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered and or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 11-21.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	On the
10. Other:		o sufficient	iner.
		*Q ^Q	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: a) the 103(a) rejection is maintained for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed 5/14/02, Paper No. 18; b) regarding Applicant's arguments, the Examiner respectfully points out that the instant claims do NOT recite a solid.